UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,110	02/13/2006	Achim Adam	710100-22	5504
Robert L Stearn	7590 01/07/200 <b>IS</b>	EXAMINER		
Dickinson Wrig		ZIMMERMAN, JOHN J		
38525 Woodward Avenue Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-2970			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/568,110	ADAM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	John J. Zimmerman	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	FIRST REPLY WAS FII	_ED WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	3		ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Final rejection.</li> </ol>			•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11 and 12.		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:			
	/John J. Zimmerman/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1794	

Continuation of 11. does not place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112 in paragraph 7 of the Final rejection, applicant argues that applicant has found that the claimed thicknesses provide a desirable result without full diffusion of the intermediate layer. Applicant's results, however, are a product of set temperatures and a set time limit. There is no evidence in the disclosure that the intermediate layer prevents full diffusion over other time limits and temperatures that are within the realm of the claimed subject matter. As noted in the rejection, higher temperatures and longer time periods could certainly induce full diffusion of a "greater than 4 um" nickel layer. As noted in the rejection, since claim 1 already recites that the intermediate layer is greater than 4 um, it is unclear if the phrase "to prevent full diffusion" now potentially requires a greater thickness. If, as argued by applicant, a thickness of greater than 4 um inherently prevents full diffusion, then the phrase is redundant and not necessary as a claim limitation. Regarding the rejection under 35 USC 103, applicant's arguments have been addressed in detail in the Final rejection. A review of applicant's disclosure shows no factual data patentably distinguishing a nickel layer thickness of 4 um from "greater than 4 um". Factual evidence establishing applicant's position may be submitted in a 132 affidavit/declaration.